

City of Lauderhill

*City Hall
5581 W. Oakland Park Blvd.
Lauderhill, FL, 33313
www.lauderhill-fl.gov*



Meeting Minutes - Draft

Thursday, September 12, 2019

7:00 PM

City Commission Chambers

City Commission Meeting

LAUDERHILL CITY COMMISSION

***Mayor Ken Thurston
Vice Mayor M. Margaret Bates
Commissioner Howard Berger
Commissioner Richard Campbell
Commissioner Denise D. Grant***

***Charles Faranda, City Manager
Andrea M. Anderson, City Clerk
Earl Hall, City Attorney***

I CALL TO ORDER**II COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC (AND RESPONSES TO THE PUBLIC, IF THE TIME PERMITS DURING THIS PORTION OF THE MEETING OF THE CITY COMMISSION)****III ADJOURNMENT (NO LATER THAN 7:30 PM)****I CALL TO ORDER OF REGULAR MEETING**

Mayor Thurston called to order the Regular City Commission Meeting at 7:00 PM.

II ROLL CALL

Present: 5 - Commissioner Howard Berger, Commissioner Richard Campbell, Commissioner Denise D. Grant, Vice Mayor M. Margaret Bates, and Mayor Ken Thurston

ALSO PRESENT:

Charles Faranda, City Manager
Earl Hall, City Attorney
Major David Hennessy
Andrea M. Anderson, City Clerk

III PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG FOLLOWED BY GOOD AND WELFARE

A. A MOMENT OF SILENCE IN REMEMBRANCE FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE BAHAMAS
(REQUESTED BY MAYOR KEN THURSTON).

HOUSEKEEPING

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Grant, to ACCEPT the Final-Revised Version of the City Commission Meeting Agenda for September 12, 2019. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

IV PUBLIC HEARING - FIRST READINGS (AS ADVERTISED IN THE SUN-SENTINEL).

1. ORDINANCE NO. 190-09-132: AN ORDINANCE SETTING AND ADOPTING THE 2019-2020 PROPERTY TAX LEVY FOR THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL AT THE OPERATING MILLAGE RATE OF 8.9898 PER ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$1,000); SETTING AND ADOPTING THE 2019-2020 VOTED DEBT SERVICE MILLAGE AT A RATE OF 1.8500 PER ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$1,000); PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [ORD-19O-09-132-CC FINAL MILLAGE levy Ordinance FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19O-09-132](#)
[DR 420 Signed \(2\).pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Grant, that this Ordinance be approved on first reading to the City Commission Meeting, due back on 9/26/2019. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

2. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-186: A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE TENTATIVE PROPERTY TAX LEVY FOR THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL AT THE PROPOSED OPERATING MILLAGE RATE OF 8.9898 PER ONE THOUSAND DOLLAR (\$1,000) ASSESSMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020; ADOPTING THE TENTATIVE VOTED DEBT SERVICE MILLAGE AT A RATE OF 1.8500 PER ONE THOUSAND DOLLAR (\$1,000) ASSESSMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-186-CC-CITY MILLAGE Tentative RESOLUTION FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-09-186](#)
[DR 420 Signed \(2\).pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Grant, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

3. ORDINANCE NO. 19O-09-133: AN ORDINANCE MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020, BASED ON THE BUDGET ESTIMATE OF EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES THAT EQUAL \$189,982,864; ADOPTING THE OPERATING AND CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2020; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [ORD-19O-09-133-CC CAPITAL & OPERATING Budget Final Ordinance FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19O-09-133](#)
[Budget Recon FY 2020 REVISED.pdf](#)

Commissioner Campbell expressed concern regarding nonprofit organizations with

which he might be associated that could receive City support. Though they were in-kind benefits, he put in a letter to the State to clarify the extent to which he could or could not participate in the discussion and vote on such items when they came before the Commission. He had yet to receive clarification from the State, and, therefore, he wished to abstain from any discussion and voting on such items.

City Attorney Hall stated Commissioner Campbell could vote on the budget, but he could abstain from discussing and voting on any item specifically related to any City contribution to CASA.

Vice Mayor Bates asked if the Chamber was included in the subject budget; if so, she wished to know the amount allocated to the Chamber in the proposed budget.

Assistant City Manager/Finance Director Kennie Hobbs answered yes, they were. As discussed at the previous Commission workshop, the amount included in the City Manager's proposed final budget for the Chamber was up to \$100,000.00. On October 1, 2019, the City was prepared to provide a \$20,000.00 upfront contribution. There had been and would continue to be meetings between the Chamber and staff liaison, Julie Brown, to discuss the criteria set forth by the City Manager in relation to milestones and reporting criteria, receipts, etc. He noted, after the initial \$20,000.00, the abovementioned documents had to be submitted to receive additional City funding, as the Chamber progressed through the rest of the fiscal year up to \$100,000.00.

Vice Mayor Bates sought clarification that the initial \$20,000.00 would be deducted from the \$100,000.00 allocation.

Mr. Hobbs affirmed this to be the case, adding that part of staff's discussion with the Chamber had to do with cash flow, so the \$20,000.00 was the City's effort to assist the Chamber in that regard.

Vice Mayor Bates questioned how often the reports from the Chamber were due.

Mr. Hobbs replied they were due on a monthly basis, as it related to milestones and documentation of their various expenditures, and those documents would determine the extent of subsequent City funding. He wished it was made clear to the Chamber that City funding was being tied to the Chamber's hard costs; City staff went over the Chamber's budget again and pulled out additional hard costs in addition to those mentioned at the previous Commission workshop.

Vice Mayor Bates wished to know if, when the City gave the Chamber the initial \$20,000.00, the Chamber was expected to submit a report to the City on how those funds were spent.

Mr. Hobbs responded, not just on how the \$20,000.00 was spent, but the extent to which the various milestones and accomplishments the City set were met, referring to the various events the Chamber proposed in documents previously provided the City Commission and staff, including activities, memberships, etc. As well as providing evidence of certain expenditures, evidence was required for payment of hard costs, such as rent, as well as actual payment for event registrations, permits, etc.

Vice Mayor Bates inquired if there was a timeline for the events the Chamber planned.

Mr. Hobbs indicated the Chamber held various events throughout the year.

City Manager Faranda commented one of the things his staff and he were hoping could easily be accomplished with the direct input back and forth with the Chamber, staff could make sure the Chamber was doing what the City expected and vice versa. He thought the renewed communication would really help the relationship between the Chamber and the City, and help clarify things, including the reporting as time progressed. Currently, City staff had a general idea of what they were looking for, but after conversations with the Chamber, he thought those ideas could be refined further, and staff could periodically produce a document for the City Commission to peruse.

Commissioner Grant questioned if what City staff proposed was an arrangement already conveyed to the Chamber.

Mr. Hobbs answered yes, Julie spoke with the Chamber, and the Chamber already made requests of the City.

Commissioner Grant asked if the Chamber was receptive to what the City proposed.

Mr. Hobbs affirmed they were.

City Manager Faranda remarked the Chamber's president, as far as the City knew, was still Sharon McLennon, and his staff and he held conversations with Ms. McLennon. Just previous to the present meeting, he briefly spoke with Chamber Board members, but Julie would be the liaison for the City, and the subject arrangement would take effect on October 1. There was still room for further discussion between the two entities, and one of the things he discussed with the Chamber was the City's willingness to discuss platforms for future discussions, the timing of meetings, and just because Julie or some other City staff was the assigned Chamber liaison did not mean City Management was not engaged in those discussions. His staff and he hoped the subject relationship with the Chamber grew into something that had synergy, an excellent relationship. The City hoped for growth in Chamber membership, along with a reduction in the Chamber's dependence on the City. He thought the renewed relationship with the Chamber would facilitate the City having a better rapport with the local business community, as the Chamber should function as an autonomous body businesses viewed as their advocate in conducting business with the City, rather than the Chamber being seen as a close partner of the City. Mr. Faranda believed the important function of the Chamber was hearing from the business community as their advocate, allowing the City to fine tune what it did for businesses and how they were treated, and how to make that relationship more compatible with the City's helping to promote businesses. The Chamber and the City shared a common goal, but there were a few problems with the logistics, and City staff and the Chamber were discussing such matters.

Commissioner Grant desired clarification on what “association” meant with regard to members of the Commission being associated with a particular nonprofit organization seeking City support, and members of the Commission voting on specific, related items.

City Attorney Hall indicated being involved with a nonprofit organization, in and of itself, was not an issue, and Commissioner Campbell’s case was somewhat different, but most members of the City Commission served on various organization boards without receiving any financial benefit for doing so. Such associations would not cause the members of the Commission any problems.

Commissioner Campbell noted, although not receiving financial support for the position he held in the particular nonprofit organization, his circumstances was a bit different. He remarked on the numerous discussions he had with Mr. Hobbs about the Chamber, and one of his concerns was that 15 years prior, the funds the City provided to the Chamber were to be seed monies to get the Chamber on their feet. He asked if there was anything in the recent agreement between the Chamber and the City that showed the goal was to ensure that the Chamber was able to, at some point in the future, become self-sustaining. He recalled in Vice Mayor Bates’s comments that former City Commissioner Benson was no longer present to “hold the hands of the Chamber”, so the Chamber needed to move on.

City Manager Faranda reiterated the Chamber and the City had yet to have their first official meeting on the Chamber’s upcoming budget, but the discussions he had with the Commission was that part of the goal they hoped for the Chamber was that it became a more autonomous, self-funded organization, becoming a separate entity from the City and a true advocate for the Lauderhill business community. He was unsure how or when the City and the Chamber would get to that stage, and there were things the Chamber did in unison with the City that would be funded in perpetuity, because they were joint ventures, such as the SoLa Rum, Food & Wine Festival. This was an excellent event the Chamber hosted that promoted economic development in the area along 441, which the City was trying to develop, and its continued success could ensure that such events remained joint ventures between the Chamber and The City.

Commissioner Campbell stated his position was that if the City took monies from the public, they had to be well spent, and in the last discussion the Commission had on the Chamber, he was somewhat speechless, as the documents he received on the Chamber still shocked him. He wished to know if in any of the City’s suggestions to the Chamber was it clearly indicated that none of the public funds should be utilized to support this way of life; that is, in 2018 the only Chamber employee’s salary was almost \$34,000.00, and in 2020, that individual’s proposed salary was roughly \$47,000.00. He recalled Commissioner Grant asking if the Chamber currently had any funds, and with no clear answer given, it appeared they had no funds, so if the City was going to subsidize the Chamber, thereby, supporting such activity, as an elected representative of the community, he had serious concerns. He added it appeared the same Chamber employee received a 40 percent commission along with a large salary from an organization that was coming to the City saying they had no money, but the bulk of their funding was being spent between two or three individuals. He did not see all the criteria staff was putting in place and he hoped within that criteria public funds were being

protected. Commissioner Campbell said he could not tell the Chamber what to do with the monies they collected, but he was concerned that in the City Manager's budget the Chamber was being allowed to have a luxurious amount of money.

City Manager Faranda reiterated, he proposed that the City cover hard costs that did not include salary or commission, rather, he sought to cover such costs as monthly rent, electricity, and items the City thought were important to ensure the Chamber had open doors, so the community: businesses, residents, and visitors, could go to the site, as with traditional, operational chambers elsewhere.

Commissioner Campbell, referring to the proposed increase in taxes, stated the City had an Economic Development Department, whose staff was paid by taxpayers' dollars, and the City now had a Convention & Visitors Bureau that appeared to duplicate some of the Chamber's work.

City Manager Faranda did not believe there was duplication between what the Chamber the Bureau did for the City, rather, they went hand in glove. One of the goals was for the Chamber become autonomous, but that did not mean the City should not have an arm of government that helped promote Lauderhill in a way that was solely directed by the City Commission, as opposed to a separate entity. City staff sought to attract more businesses to Lauderhill, trying to redevelop the 441 area, which was a push that was multifaceted and extensive. He thought the economic health of the City depended greatly on the City succeeding in developing 441 as the City envisioned, such as promoting the Lauderhill Performing Arts Center (LPAC). To do this, it took more than just an autonomous chamber, or an economic development division that covered the more mechanical aspects, such as permitting and grant applications for improvement projects, etc. The City's new Conventions & Visitors Bureau would market and promote the City to outside visitors, welcoming them to Lauderhill, such as to the LPAC, and later to the Marketplace and the ancillary things that went along with that, as well as the growth of private enterprise. Mr. Faranda thought there was some overlapping, but he did not believe there was any duplication, as staff spent an extensive amount of time ensuring that services were not being duplicated in the City; where duplications were detected, adjustments were made. Presently, they were seen as complementary rather than duplicating.

Commissioner Campbell questioned if the Commission would receive a report on the progress of what the City was doing.

Mr. Hobbs answered yes.

Commissioner Campbell remarked, going forward, he would not participate in any future increases in taxes when it appeared the City was so comfortable utilizing public funds without accountability. As the City moved forward, he hoped out of the subject exercise, the City would carefully ensure that taxpayers' dollars were well spent, and no support would be given by the City to activities in which individuals were bettering themselves in such a manner. He was not preventing anyone from moving forward in life, but he was very much against them doing so on the backs of the public.

Commissioner Grant mentioned, on the matter of not duplicating efforts, when she

read the proposal for the Bureau; that was one of her questions, as it seemed many of the things the Bureau would be doing were similar to the Chamber's activities. She desired staff to provide her with more information, as it related to how City staff would ensure there was no duplication in marketing efforts, asking if the Bureau would work with the Chamber on some initiatives. She recalled the cost to implement the Bureau was about \$175,000.00, though the final proposed figure was about \$250,000.00.

Mr. Hobbs indicated it was about \$225,000.00.

Deputy City Manager Desorae Giles-Smith explained City staff's work via the Bureau was toward tourism development, the aim being to attract tourism from all over the State and the nation. They wished to ensure sure visitors to the Lauderhill, such as the 50,000 visitors to the LPAC since 2019, knew there were places to dine and shop in the City. She indicated the magazine Bureau staff produced was to put that word out and, similarly, when cricket matches were held in the City this past August, at which there were some 13,000 attendees, they too were given such information. She believed the Bureau's work complemented that of the Chamber's, and Bureau staff looked forward to working with the Chamber to ensure when anyone came to the City, they were aware of the numerous options available, as Lauderhill was a great destination. When the Bureau had events, staff would make sure the Chamber was a part of those activities.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Mayor Thurston, that this Ordinance be approved on first reading to the City Commission Meeting, due back on 9/26/2019. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

4. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-187: A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE TENTATIVE APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020, BASED ON THE BUDGET ESTIMATE OF EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES THAT EQUAL \$189,982,864.00; APPROVING THE TENTATIVE OPERATING AND CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2020; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-187-CC Budget CAPITAL & OPERATING Tentative Resolution FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-09-187](#)
[Budget Recon FY 2020 REVISED.pdf](#)

Commissioner Campbell disclosed his association with one of the nonprofit organizations referred to in subject resolution, in the event of any of conflict of interest.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Grant, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

5. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-188: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE TENTATIVE PROPERTY TAX LEVY FOR THE HABITAT SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT AT THE PROPOSED MILLAGE RATE OF 2.0 MILLS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020 ADOPTING THE PROPOSED NON-AD VALOREM SPECIAL ASSESSMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$250.00 PER PARCEL OF LAND PER YEAR FOR THE HABITAT SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-188-CC-Habitat SND TENTATIVE MILLAGE RESO Tax Levy FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-09-188](#)
[Ex. A. - Map Habitat.pdf](#)
[Ex. B Habitat-Assessment RATE FY 2020.pdf](#)
[HABITAT-CERTIFICATE OF ASSESSMENT ROLL 2019.pdf](#)
[verification habitat snd.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Grant, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

6. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-189: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE TENTATIVE BUDGET AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 FOR THE HABITAT SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT WHICH SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020; PROVIDING NOTICE FOR PUBLIC HEARING; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-189-CC-Habitat SND Tentative Budget Approval FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-09-189](#)
[140 - FY 2019 - Habitat Budget.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Mayor Thurston, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

7. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-190: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE TENTATIVE PROPERTY TAX LEVY FOR THE MANORS SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT AT THE PROPOSED MILLAGE RATE AND TAX LEVY OF ZERO (0) MILLS AND NON-AD VALOREM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS FOR THE MASTER ASSOCIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$150.00; AND A TAX LEVY OF ZERO (0) MILLS AND NON-AD VALOREM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS FOR THE CONDO I ASSOCIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$250.00 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-190-CC-Manors SND TENTATIVE MILLAGE Tax Levy 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-09-190](#)
[Ex. A - Map ManorsSND.pdf](#)
[Ex. B Manors- ASSESSMENT RATE 2019.pdf](#)
[MANORS - CERTIFICATE OF ASSESSMENT 2019.pdf](#)
[verification manors snd.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Campbell, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

8. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-191: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE TENTATIVE BUDGET AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 FOR THE MANORS OF INVERRARY SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT WHICH SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020; PROVIDING NOTICE FOR PUBLIC HEARING; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-191-CC-Manors SND Tentative Budget Approval FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-09-191](#)
[155 - FY 2020 - Condo 1 Budget.pdf](#)
[159 - FY 2020 - Common Area Manors Budget.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Campbell, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

9. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-192: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE TENTATIVE PROPERTY TAX LEVY FOR THE ISLES OF INVERRARY SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT AT THE PROPOSED MILLAGE RATE OF ZERO (0) MILLS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020 ADOPTING THE PROPOSED NON-AD VALOREM SPECIAL ASSESSMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF ZERO DOLLARS (\$0.00) PER PARCEL OF LAND PER YEAR FOR THE ISLES OF INVERRARY SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2019; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-192-CC-Isles SND TENTATIVE MILLAGE Tax Levy FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-09-192](#)
[Ex. A - Map IslesOfInverrarySND.pdf](#)
[Ex. B Isles-ASSESSMENT RATE 2019.pdf](#)
[ISLES-CERTIFICATE OF ASSESSMENT 2019.pdf](#)
[verification idles snd.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Grant, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

10. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-193: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE TENTATIVE BUDGET AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 FOR THE ISLES OF INVERRARY SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT WHICH SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020; PROVIDING NOTICE FOR PUBLIC HEARING; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-193-CC-Isles SND Tentative Budget Approval FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-09-193](#)
[145 - FY 2020 - Isles.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Campbell, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

11. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-194: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE TENTATIVE PROPERTY TAX LEVY FOR THE WINDERMERE/TREE GARDENS SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT AT THE PROPOSED MILLAGE RATE OF 2.0 MILLS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020 ADOPTING THE PROPOSED NON-AD VALOREM SPECIAL ASSESSMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$500.00 PER PARCEL OF LAND PER YEAR FOR THE WINDERMERE/TREE GARDENS SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-194-CC-Windermere-Tree Gardens SND TENTATIVE MILLAGE Tax Levy FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-09-194](#)
[Ex. A - WindermereSND.pdf](#)
[Ex B - Windermere-ASSESSMENT RATE 2019.pdf](#)
[WINDERMERE-CERTIFICATE OF ASSESSMENT 2019.pdf](#)
[verification windermere snd.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Mayor Thurston, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

12. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-195: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE TENTATIVE BUDGET AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 FOR THE WINDERMERE/TREE GARDENS SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT WHICH SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020; PROVIDING NOTICE FOR PUBLIC HEARING; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-195-CC-Windermere-Tree Gardens SND Tentative Budget Approval FY 2020.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-09-195](#)
[130 - FY 2019 Windermere Budget.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Grant, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

- 13.** RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-196: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE TENTATIVE EASTERN CRA AND CENTRAL CRA BUDGETS AS APPROVED BY THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY (CRA) FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 WHICH SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020; PROVIDING NOTICE FOR PUBLIC HEARING; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-196-CC-CRA Tentative Budget Approval FY 2020.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-09-196](#)

[CRA-Central Budget 624 FY 2020.pdf](#)

[CRA-Eastern Budget 623 FY 2020.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Campbell, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

- 14.** RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-197: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE TENTATIVE BUDGET FOR THE LAUDERHILL FINANCE CORPORATION (LFC) FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 WHICH SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020; PROVIDING NOTICE FOR PUBLIC HEARING; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-197-CC-LFC Tentative Budget Approval FY 2020.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-09-197](#)

[Lauderhill Finance Corp FY 2020 \(1\).pdf](#)

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Grant, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

V CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Campbell, that this Consent Agenda was approved. The motion carried by the following

vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

VI APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Minutes of the City Commission for August 26, 2019.

Attachments: [August 26, 2019 - City Commission Meeting Minutes](#)

These Minutes were approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

VII PROCLAMATIONS / COMMENDATIONS

- A. A PROCLAMATION COMMEMORATING LAUDERHILL'S OFFICIAL CENSUS 2020 COMPLETE COUNT COMMITTEE KICK-OFF DAY (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER CHARLES FARANDA).
- B. A PROCLAMATION HONORING THE 100TH ANNIVERSARY OF AMENDMENT 19 TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, GRANTING WOMEN THE RIGHT TO VOTE (REQUESTED BY VICE MAYOR M. MARGARET BATES).

VIII SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

IX GENERAL PRESENTATIONS (5 MINUTES MAXIMUM)

X ORDINANCES & PUBLIC HEARINGS - FIRST READING (NOT ON CONSENT AGENDA) (AS ADVERTISED IN THE SUN-SENTINEL)

15. ORDINANCE NO. 19O-09-134: AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A BUDGET ADJUSTMENT AND A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION OF ADDITIONAL REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES TO BALANCE THE FISCAL YEAR 2019 BUDGET; PROVIDING FOR VARIOUS BUDGET CODE NUMBERS; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-19O-09-134-Budget-supp approp FY 2019 End Ord.pdf](#)
[AR 19O-09-134](#)
[EYO BA.pdf](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda on first reading to the City Commission Meeting, due back on 9/26/2019. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

16. ORDINANCE NO. 19O-09-135: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CODE OF ORDINANCES CHAPTER 21, WATER AND SEWER SERVICE, ARTICLE IV, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND ENGINEERING

SERVICES RULES, REGULATIONS, AND RATES; AMENDING SECTION 21-47, SCHEDULE OF RATES AND CHARGES, TABLE 4, WATER SYSTEM MINIMUM CHARGE/AVAILABILITY CHARGE; AND TABLE 5, WATER SYSTEM VOLUMETRIC RATE; AND TABLE 6, SEWER RATES AVAILABILITY CHARGE AND VOLUMETRIC RATE TABLE TO AMEND THE RATE STRUCTURES FOR WATER AND SEWER; PROVIDING THAT THE NEW RATE STRUCTURE SHALL BE EFFECTIVE COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019; PROVIDING FOR FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-190-09-135-Water & Sewer - Sec. 21 47. Schedule of rates.pdf](#)
[AR 190-09-135](#)

[Revised Copy of Copy of CPI UB Rates FY20 Oct 2019 \(005\)](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda on first reading to the City Commission Meeting, due back on 9/26/2019. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

17. ORDINANCE NO. 190-09-136: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CODE OF ORDINANCES CHAPTER 21, WATER AND SEWER SERVICE, ARTICLE IV, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES RULES, REGULATIONS, AND RATES; AMENDING SECTION 21-47, SCHEDULE OF RATES AND CHARGES, TABLE 7, STORMWATER MINIMUM CHARGE/AVAILABILITY CHARGE; AMENDING THE STORMWATER RATE STRUCTURE EFFECTIVE COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 2019; PROVIDING FOR FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-190-09-136-Stormwater-Sec. 21 47. Schedule of rates.pdf](#)
[AR 190-09-136](#)

[Revised Copy of Copy of CPI UB Rates FY20 Oct 2019 \(005\)](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda on first reading to the City Commission Meeting, due back on 9/26/2019. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

18. ITEM MOVED TO QUASI-JUDICIAL MATTERS, FIRST READING

19. ITEM MOVED TO QUASI-JUDICIAL MATTERS, FIRST READING

20. ORDINANCE NO. 190-09-139: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL CODE OF ORDINANCES, APPENDIX G, FRANCHISES, ARTICLE III, GARBAGE, REFUSE AND TRASH, EXHIBIT

"A" AMENDING THE RATE SCHEDULE OF FEES EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 2019; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-100-09-139-ARTICLE III. GARBAGE REFUSE AND TRAS](#)
[H FY 20 Increase MultiFam Final.pdf](#)
[AR 19O-09-139](#)
[WM Correspondence_new rates eff 10 01 19.pdf](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda on first reading to the City Commission Meeting, due back on 9/26/2019. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

XI ORDINANCES & PUBLIC HEARINGS - SECOND READING (NOT ON CONSENT AGENDA) (AS ADVERTISED IN THE SUN-SENTINEL)

21. ORDINANCE NO. 19O-08-114: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL, FLORIDA AMENDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS (LDR) ARTICLE III., ZONING DISTRICTS, PART 1.0 GENERALLY, SECTION 6.5, OFF-STREET PARKING STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS, SUBSECTION 6.5.3; AMENDING ARTICLE IV, DEVELOPMENT REVIEW REQUIREMENTS, SECTION 4.10, CONVEYANCES OF USES THAT ARE SUBJECT TO SPECIAL EXCEPTION APPROVAL; AMENDING SCHEDULE A, LAND USE CLASSIFICATIONS; AMENDING SCHEDULE B, ALLOWABLE USES, B-2, USES ALLOWED IN NON-RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS; AMENDING ALL TO DELETE OR MODIFY THE PROVISIONS RELATING TO MEDICAL MARIJUANA DISPENSING FACILITIES TO PROHIBIT THE USE; PROVIDING FOR FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-19O-08-114-LDR-4.10 & 6.5 & Sch - Rest of Repeal Medical Marijuana Dispensories.pdf](#)
[AR 19O-08-114](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

22. ORDINANCE NO. 19O-08-115: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL, CHAPTER 12, BUSINESS REGULATIONS, ARTICLE II, CERTIFICATE OF USE AND LOCAL BUSINESS TAX RECEIPT, SECTION 12-50, FAILURE TO PAY BUSINESS TAX AND/OR OTHER APPLICABLE FEES; AMENDING PROVISIONS REGARDING ENFORCEMENT OF LIENS AND REMOVING REFERENCES TO ASSESSMENTS ON ANNUAL TAX BILL; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-190-08-115-Code 12-50 lien enforcement and remove annual tax bill placement.pdf](#)
[AR 190-08-115](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

- 23.** ORDINANCE NO. 190-08-116: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF LAUDERHILL, FLORIDA AMENDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS (LDR), SCHEDULE A, LAND USE CLASSIFICATIONS AND SCHEDULE B, ALLOWABLE USES, B-2, USES ALLOWED IN NON-RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS TO INCLUDE LAND USES COMMONLY FOUND IN LIFE STYLE CENTERS AND ARTS AND ENTERTAINMENT DISTRICTS; AMENDING SCHEDULE T, COMMERCE PARK OVERLAY DISTRICT, TO NOW BE "THE ARTS AND ENTERTAINMENT OVERLAY DISTRICT"; AMENDING ARTICLE III, ZONING DISTRICTS, PART 5.0 SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIFIC LAND USE CLASSIFICATIONS, SECTION 5.3, ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE USES, SECTION 5.25, MANUFACTURING, SECTION 5.26, LIVE ENTERTAINMENT, AND SECTION 5.32, RESTAURANTS; PROVIDING FOR FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-190-08-116-LDR-Arts & Entertainment District-AMENDED APR 7-30-19.pdf](#)
[AR 190-08-116](#)
[DRR-art and entertainment-FINAL-7-30-19 \(003\).pdf](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

- 24.** ORDINANCE NO. 190-08-117: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL, FLORIDA AMENDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS (LDR) ARTICLE III., ZONING DISTRICTS, PART 5.0., SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIFIC LAND USE CLASSIFICATIONS, CREATING SECTION 5.52, SMALL BOX DISCOUNT STORE/DOLLAR STORES SUBSECTIONS TO PROVIDE DEFINITIONS AND SEPARATION REQUIREMENTS; CREATING SECTION 5.53, THRIFT STORE/SECOND-HAND STORE DEFINITIONS AND SEPARATION REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING FOR FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-19O-08-117-LDR-5.52 & 5.53 - Thrift Stores & Dollar Definitions and Separation Requirements.pdf](#)
[AR 19O-08-117](#)

[DRR-Dollar and Thrift Stores Amended APR 6-18-19-FINAL.pdf](#)

[Attachment A---LDR-5.52 5.53 - Thrift Stores Dollar Definitions and Separation Requirements.pdf](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

25. ORDINANCE NO. 19O-08-121: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, CHAPTER 7½, CODE ENFORCEMENT, ARTICLE II, CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD AND OFFICERS, SECTION 7½ - 3, CIVIL VIOLATION OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OR LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; AUTHORIZING CITY RANGERS TO ISSUE UNIFORM CODE CITATIONS; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-19O-08-121-Code-7.5-3-Civil Citations by City Rangers.pdf](#)
[AR 19O-08-121](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

26. ORDINANCE NO. 19O-08-122: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL CODE OF ORDINANCES CHAPTER 20, TRAFFIC, ARTICLE II, PARKING, AMENDING SECTION 20-15.3, DEFINITIONS, ADDING A DEFINITION FOR PARKING ENFORCEMENT SPECIALISTS; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-19O-08-122-Code 20-15 & 20-19-Parking enforcement specialists.pdf](#)
[AR 19O-08-122](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

27. ORDINANCE NO. 19O-08-123: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL, FLORIDA APPROVING THE VACATION AND ABANDONMENT OF A PORTION OF N.W. 46th AVENUE, FORWARD SUBDIVISION NO. 1, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 52, PAGE 40 OF THE OFFICIAL PUBLIC RECORDS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA AS MORE PARTICULARLY DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT "A"; PROVIDING FOR RECORDATION; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; APPROVING THE COMPLETION AND SUBMITTAL OF THE APPLICATION FOR VACATION AND ABANDONMENT WITH BROWARD COUNTY; APPROVING THE PREPARATION OF ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS TO CARRY OUT THE INTENT OF THIS

ORDINANCE; PROVIDING THAT THIS VACATION IS SUBJECT TO THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL RETAINING UNTO ITSELF A PERPETUAL NON-EXCLUSIVE INGRESS/EGRESS, UTILITY AND STORMWATER INFRASTRUCTURE EASEMENT; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-19O-08-123-Vacate-NW 46 Ave.pdf](#)

[AR 19O-08-123](#)

[Ex. A - Survey-NW 46th AVE LAUDERHILL.PDF](#)

[ForwardSubdivisionNo1Plat.pdf](#)

[Vacation Petition Application.pdf](#)

[Vacation Petition Procedures](#)

[Vacation Petition Submittal Checklist](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

XII RESOLUTIONS (IF NOT ON CONSENT AGENDA)

28. REMOVED

29. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-199: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL, FLORIDA, OPPOSING THE TERMINATION OF THE HAITIAN FAMILY REUNIFICATION PAROLE PROGRAM (HFRPP) WHICH ALLOWED CERTAIN HAITIAN INDIVIDUALS TO BE PERMITTED TO COME TO THE UNITED STATES UP TO TWO YEARS BEFORE THEIR IMMIGRANT VISA PRIORITY DATES BECOME CURRENT TO BE REUNIFIED WITH THEIR FAMILY; SUPPORTING LEGISLATORS CONDEMNATION OF THE TERMINATION OF THE FAMILY REUNIFICATION PAROLE PROGRAM; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY COMMISSIONER BERGER).

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-199-Oppose Termination of Haitian Parole Program.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-09-199](#)

[oppose termination of Parole Program backup.pdf](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

30. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-200: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN BROWARD COUNTY TRANSIT AND THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL FOR COMMUNITY BUS SHUTTLE SERVICES TO PROVIDE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR ALL BUS ROUTES; PROVIDING FOR 100% FUNDING FROM TRANSPORTATION SALES TAX FUNDS; PROVIDING FOR A TERM ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2022; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY

CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-200-ILA-county bus services all routes 100% funding.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-09-200](#)

[Lauderhill - FY2020 Community Shuttle ILA.pdf](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

31. REMOVED

32. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-202: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL AND KELLY DAVIS D/B/A BIG MAN PRODUCTIONS TO PROVIDE YOUTH ETIQUETTE, MUSIC, DJ, RADIO AND TV BROADCASTING CLASSES FOR YOUTH ENRICHMENT AND INSTRUCTION SERVICES AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS WITHIN THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL; PROVIDING TERMS, CONDITIONS AND FEES; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-202-Agrmt-professional service -Big Man](#)

[Productions-Kelly Davis.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-09-202](#)

[Professional Services Agrmt - Kelly Davis Big Man Productions.pdf](#)

[EXHIBIT A KDavis BIG MAN PRODUCTIONS](#)

[EXHIBIT B KDavis BIG MAN PRODUCTIONS](#)

[COI Big Man Productions Kelly Davis insurance 041519pdf.pdf](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

33. REMOVED

34. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-09-204: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN BROWARD COUNTY AND THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL FOR OPTIONAL COUNTY SERVICES INCLUDING THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE AND ELECTRONICS PROGRAM; PROVIDING FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR TERMINATION ON SEPTEMBER 30, 2020; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-09-204-ILA-Hazardous Waste.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-09-204](#)

[ILA-Hazardous Waste00072420190904105542.pdf](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

XIII QUASI-JUDICIAL MATTERS (IF NOT ON CONSENT AGENDA)

XIV QUASI-JUDICIAL MATTERS, FIRST READING

35. ORDINANCE NO. 19O-09-137: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL, FLORIDA, GRANTING A DEVELOPMENT ORDER TO LAUDERHILL MARKETPLACE, LLC AMENDING THE ZONING DISTRICT MAP IDENTIFIED IN LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS (LDR) ARTICLE III., ZONING DISTRICTS, PART 2.0., DISTRICT REGULATIONS, SUBSECTION 2.2.1., ADOPTION OF ZONING DISTRICT MAP; CHANGING FROM COMMERCIAL ENTERTAINMENT (CE) to GENERAL COMMERCIAL (CG) THE ZONING DISTRICT OF A ± 1.87 ACRE PARCEL LEGALLY DESCRIBED AS A PORTION OF PARCEL "A", CARISHOCA PLAT, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 178, PAGES 161, 162, AND 163, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA, AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT 1; MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS A PORTION OF THE VACANT SITE GENERALLY LOCATED AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF NORTH STATE ROAD 7 AND SUNRISE BOULEVARD, LAUDERHILL, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-19O-09-137-Carishoca ZDMA Ordinance 2019.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-09-137](#)

[Lauderhill Marketplace Conceptual Site Plan](#)

[DRR LAUDERHILL MARKETPLACE LLC Updated.pdf](#)

[Marketplace Zoning Map Amdt Exhibits A-X.pdf](#)

[DISPLAY AD for 8-27-19 REZONING DIST MAP \(2\).pdf](#)

Mayor Thurston collectively swore in those persons wishing to speak on the following quasi-judicial item.

City Attorney Hall explained Mr. Izhak submitted to City staff an application to rezone a 1.87-acre parcel that was part of 14 plus-acre site. The City recently purchased 1.7 acres from Mr. Isaac, and next to that parcel was the parcel Mr. Isaac wished to rezone from commercial entertainment, CE, to commercial, and this was the request presently before the City Commission for consideration.

Mayor Thurston wished to know the rationale behind the Planning & Zoning (P&Z) Board voting no, thus recommending the Commission deny the subject application.

City Planner Chris Torres clarified the P&Z Board expressed concern over the gas station's incompatibility with future uses on the remainder of the site. City staff did an analysis of the entire site, and the underlying future land use was a transit oriented corridor (TOC) that pushed for development to encourage pedestrian activity and multimodal transportation. He noted there was already a bus stop buffering the site, and staff had a conceptual plan that showed pedestrian and other activities would interact with the site, and that would be further illustrated at the time of site plan approval. The matter before the City Commission was the first step in the development process necessary to allow the applicant's requested use, as later in the process the applicant had to seek a special exception for the gas station use, along with a number of waivers associated with the site. The P&Z Board might have misunderstood the necessity to speak to the actual use being requested at the current time, as the applicant's request to rezone the property was for a potential rather than an actual use.

City Attorney Hall attended the P&Z Board meeting at which the Board voted no on the subject application, and he observed their concern as being about locating a gas station on the site at all, as it was part of a larger property on which the City envisioned as a massive commercial entertainment site.

Commissioner Grant surmised the P&Z Board felt locating a gas station on the site would not complement other development of the particular area.

City Attorney Hall affirmed this to be the case.

Commissioner Grant wished to know staff's position on the matter.

Mr. Hobbs replied City staff continued to recommend approval of the rezoning.

Commissioner Campbell asked if the P&Z Board and City staff understood the Commission had a significant number of approvals on the subject issue, and part of the dais's understanding from the beginning was that the City would be granted a portion of the subject 14 acres on which a parking garage would be constructed for the LPAC. The City had purchased 1.75 acres and was always fully cognizant of the property owner's intent to place a gas station on the site.

Mr. Hobbs agreed the City was always aware of the owner's intent for the gas station.

Commissioner Campbell mentioned there was one agreement in which these matters were detailed and known to all parties concerned.

Mr. Hobbs affirmed there was a development agreement that was approved between the property owner and the City that included the donation of four acres to the City.

Commissioner Campbell sought confirmation the City Commission already approved the purchase of the 1.75 acres.

Mr. Hobbs answered yes.

Commissioner Campbell questioned what would happen if the subject rezoning, which was a part of the abovementioned development agreement, was not approved.

Mr. Hobbs commented City staff's recommendation to the P&Z Board was for approval, but they chose to vote against P&Z staff's recommendation; staff's recommendation for approval of the rezoning still stood.

Commissioner Campbell felt confused as to the P&Z Board's voting no, as the City's position on the matter was clear, based on the City Commission approving both the development agreement with the property owner and the purchase of the 1.75 acres. The P&Z Board recommending denial on the subject rezoning application seemed to place the entire development agreement with the property owner in jeopardy.

Mr. Hobbs said the development agreement between the City and the property owner included the City's anticipated approval of the rezoning of the subject parcel, so a denial would negatively affect the agreement.

Commissioner Campbell thought "negatively affect" the development agreement was too proper a way of describing the effect of the City's denying the requested rezoning.

City Attorney Hall pointed out Mr. Hobbs was unable to speak for the property owner, and though there was an existing agreement between the City and the owner, only the owner could speak to the effect of the Commission's denying the rezoning application.

Mayor Thurston added the City had been trying for more than 20 years to get the subject 14 acres in the City developed. Though the Commission and staff desired some first rate uses in the area, it seemed unlikely they would materialize for the particular site, in light of changes in how customers opted to purchase more online than visiting actual stores. He thought the subject rezoning was a good compromise on the City's part, and it would allow the rest of the site to develop, so he thought the Commission should approve the subject rezoning application.

Vice Mayor Bates thought staff's recommending approval and the P&Z Board recommending denial placed the City Commission in an awkward position, but everyone on the dais understood why approval was the best direction. She was baffled by the P&Z Board's vote of no, which went against City staff's recommendation for approval.

City Attorney Hall explained the P&Z Board was an advisory group to the City Commission, and some lacked the historical knowledge of the City Commission, which was that the City had been dealing with efforts to develop the subject 14-acre site for over 20 years. He commended staff for doing a yeoman's job explaining the issues of the site over the years to the P&Z Board, but they chose to vote no regardless.

Mayor Thurston opened the discussion to the public.

Maria Munro, 7120 NW 44 Court, Lauderhill, questioned the exact location of the gas station, wishing to know if it would be at or near the entrance of the LPAC.

City Attorney Hall answered no, as the City owned the very first parcel coming off NW 11th Place, and the subject parcel to be rezoned was next to it to the south.

City Manager Faranda added the property behind the subject parcel was part of the donation of land the owner would make to the City, so the City would control all the property directly surrounding the LPAC.

When asked if the P&Z Board's vote of no was due to the location of the LPAC and their concern that a gas station would be incompatible, Mayor Thurston indicated there had been a long-term objection to a gas station and, though the City Commission desired to see what they perceived as better or more high end uses on the subject site, such as a Macy's, the reality was that after 20 years of trying to get such businesses, nothing materialized to date. Mayor Thurston continued and explained that the City would have some strenuous requirements on the development of the site, and the developer of the gas station would be required to design a very first rate service station.

Mayor Thurston received no further input from the public.

Commissioner Grant said she was unaware of any high-end gas station designs, asking if City staff considered the issue of crime that was sometimes associated with the presence of a service station.

City Manager Faranda directed the Commission's attention to the City's upcoming budget, in which there was an extensive increase for additional police officers and other public safety initiatives. One of the main concerns staff discussed when looking at the development of the site adjacent to the LPAC was safety and patrons feeling safe.

Mr. Hobbs concurred, stating two of the additional police officers Mr. Faranda mentioned were specifically funded by the City's Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA), and they would be working exclusively for that area of the City, in addition to the existing police coverage assigned to that area.

Vice Mayor Bates addressed the developer of the subject site, stating that for many years the City endeavored to attract development to the site, as this was a major entryway into Lauderhill, the first site seen by anyone traveling north from Sunrise Boulevard on 441. She tried to visualize the location of the gas station on the site, asking if the developer would work with the City to create a high quality entryway.

Yoram Izhak responded that the gas station would be in the middle of the 14-acre site, as on one side of the service station would be the property owned by the City, and on the other side was a vacant parcel that would be developed eventually. Thus, the gas station would not be visible from the corner of 441/Sunrise Boulevard.

Vice Mayor Bates questioned if the entrance to the gas station would be from Sunrise Boulevard and/or 441.

Mr. Izhak replied the gas station would be located in the middle of the 14-acre site, as requested by the City.

Commissioner Campbell pointed out access to the gas station appeared to be from 441.

Mr. Izhak indicated an entrance driveway from Sunrise Boulevard was being proposed; the driveways would be shared by other uses on the site.

Commissioner Campbell recalled when some of the past concern was expressed about the location of a gas station, there were discussions about doing the best to lushly landscape the surrounding area, asking if the property owner was on the same page as that of the City.

Mr. Izhak reminded the Commission the only development by the current property owner was the gas station, as the City would eventually own the remaining acreage, so the City was at liberty to landscape the area as it saw fit, and he had no objections to how the City chose to landscape the area.

When asked how many entrances and exits were planned for the gas station. It was stated that the developer of the gas station was committed to working with the City's consultant, as the balance of the subject property was designed to have cross connectivity. The owner was proposing a right in/right out on 441 that could only be accessed northbound, and that would be used for the entire development.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Berger, that this Ordinance be approved on first reading to the City Commission Meeting, due back on 9/26/2019. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

- 36.** ORDINANCE NO. 19O-09-138: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF LAUDERHILL, FLORIDA ADOPTING THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTES CHAPTER 163 TO REFLECT CHANGES IN STATE REQUIREMENTS SINCE THE LAST UPDATE TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE BROWARD COUNTY LAND USE PLAN (BCLUP); APPROVING ITS TRANSMITTAL TO THE APPROPRIATE AGENCIES FOR OBJECTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMENTS (ORC); PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-19O-09-138-Comp Plan.pdf](#)
[AR 19O-09-138](#)
[DRR-Comp Plan Ordinance-DRAFT-8-8-2019.pdf](#)
[Attachment 1-A-DEO Letter of Notification- June 2013 Letter.pdf](#)
[Attachment 1-B-Lauderhill EAR Notification Acknowledgement Letter.pdf](#)
[Attachment 1-C-DEO Letter 2015-2nd Notification of Failure Since 2013 Letter.pdf](#)
[Attachment 1-March 16 2015 - City Commission Workshop Meeting Minutes.pdf](#)
[Attachment 2-A- Florida DEO-Eubanks Letter-Failure to Submit EAR.pdf](#)
[Attachment 2-Lauderhill EAR Notification Acknowledgement Letter \(003\).pdf](#)
[Attachment 3-Calvin, Giordano & Assoc. PO.pdf](#)
[Attachment 4-Florida DEO-Eubanks Letter-Failure to Submit EAR.pdf](#)
[Attachment 5-Lauderhill EAR-Based Comp Plan Amendments July 2019 update.pdf](#)
[Additional Backup On File](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda on first reading to the City Commission Meeting, due back on 9/26/2019. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

XV QUASI-JUDICIAL MATTERS, SECOND READING

XVI UNFINISHED BUSINESS

XVII OLD BUSINESS

XVIII NEW BUSINESS

- A.** A DISCUSSION OF RECONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 19R-08-161 (REQUESTED BY COMMISSIONER DENISE D. GRANT).

Attachments: [RES 19R-08-161 Oppose President Trump Comments Toward Congresswomen](#)

Commissioner Grant read the following into the record: In the last Commission meeting, I voted against Resolution No. 19R-08-161. I agree with the resolution as it is written, as I am opposed to all forms of racism and discrimination. During our discussion, I was intrigued and taken aback by the conversation and based my vote on that. Please let it be known that I am 100 percent in support of our Jewish and Israeli brothers and sisters; I've always been. On a personal note, my son's name is Joshua Israel, and he speaks Hebrew. I judge people primarily based on character, values and hearts. I ran on cultural diversity; my slogan was "One Lauderhill" and it is my stance. As a minority woman who happens to be an immigrant, I stand against all forms of racism, in spite of the deliverer, which means the messenger. Therefore, I agree with the resolution, and hope to change my

vote. I was not on the prevailing side and graciously ask my colleagues to accept my position of change, and assist me in validating the change in vote.

City Attorney Hall explained, procedurally, if Commissioner Grant was allowed to vote in a different way, the Commissioners who voted in the majority had to make a motion and second for the reconsideration of the subject resolution. Robert's Rules of Orders said the individuals who voted in the majority on an item could bring that item back for reconsideration at the next meeting. He said, therefore, in order for the subject resolution to be reconsidered, it would take the approval of the Commissioners who originally voted in favor of the resolution to reopen the matter on the floor.

Vice Mayor Bates indicated she was willing to make the motion to approve the reconsideration to allow Commissioner Grant to change her vote.

Commissioner Campbell desired clarification from Commissioner Grant as to the intent of her request, as the intent of the subject resolution was specifically targeted at the perceived racist conduct of the President of the United States directed at the specified four female congresswomen. The point of Israel had nothing to do with the resolution, and if this point was not clear, it would make it difficult for him to vote to bring the subject resolution back for reconsideration. He did not wish for the resolution to be watered down by any introduction in regards to whether the congresswomen made any prior statements about Israel, as the intent of the resolution had nothing to do with Israel. Thus, this clarification had to be made, as the matter of Israel was a separate issue and, possibly, the subject of a future resolution if desired.

Commissioner Grant understood, and explained why the comments on Israel were included in the statement she read into the record. It was clear to her that the subject resolution had nothing to do with Israel, rather, it had to do with statements made by the President in relation to the four congresswomen, all of whom were minorities. She understood this and wished her vote on the subject resolution to clearly communicate that she supported the resolution. However, as mentioned in her statement, the discussion that ensued prior to the vote on the resolution, in which Commissioner Campbell mentioned nothing about Israel, but Commissioner Berger did bring up Israel in his comments. She stated that in the midst of all the discussion back and forth, she became more involved in the rhetoric and conversation rather than focusing only on the intent of the resolution as written, which she fully supported.

Commissioner Campbell noted all he asked was that the subject resolution not be diluted in any manner by any suggestion of added meaning, as whatever Commissioner Berger stated or did not state had nothing to do with the resolution's language. This was the only way by which he would support a reconsideration of the resolution to accommodate Commissioner Grant. If there was a separate discussion on the issue of the congresswomen's position on Israel that would be a totally different issue; the resolution was not presented by Commissioner Berger, so he had every right to state his position in any manner he saw fit. Commissioner Campbell reiterated if the resolution was brought back for reconsideration, he would not be a part of anyone injecting any issue that had nothing to do with the intent of the resolution.

Mayor Thurston commented there were times when matters were discussed with numerous repetitive statements that would not change the outcome of the vote, indicating he would second the reconsideration of the subject resolution, and called for a vote on the reconsideration.

Commissioner Campbell continued to request that Commissioner Grant amend her statement to clearly indicate her only intent for requesting the reconsideration was to allow her to vote yes on the subject resolution as written.

City Attorney Hall explained, as three members of the Commission voted to approve the subject resolution originally, the vote of at least two of the three Commissioners was required for the resolution to be reconsidered. Based on the current discussion, he did not think Commissioner Grant intended to amend the original resolution in any way.

Commissioner Grant affirmed this to be the case.

Commissioner Campbell understood Commissioner Grant's position, but wished for her to delete any reference to Israel in her statement, then he would be willing to support the reconsideration.

Commissioner Grant stated she stood on her previously read statement in general, but for clarification, as Commissioner Campbell requested, she would delete the statement, stressing that she was in agreement with the subject resolution as written and wished to vote yes. She had no wish to give Commissioner Campbell the impression she desired, via the statement she read into the record, to dilute the subject resolution in any way.

Commissioner Campbell noted, in light of Commissioner Grant's withdrawal of the statement and the additional clarification, he was willing to support the reconsideration of the resolution.

Commissioner Berger asked if the process now being followed was similar to that followed a few years prior when he wished to change his vote on an item.

City Attorney Hall answered yes.

Commissioner Berger questioned if the resolution would be placed on a subsequent meeting's agenda for reconsideration.

City Attorney Hall replied, with the matter approved for reconsideration, he could read the resolution into the record, and the Commission could vote accordingly.

City staff indicated the matter could be placed on the next meeting's agenda.

Commissioner Campbell hoped Commissioner Berger would change his vote.

Commissioner Berger understood, but restated he felt the President was calling out the four congresswomen for what he saw as anti-Israel and anti-Semitic rhetoric. He saw nothing bigoted in the President's statements.

Commissioner Grant expressed appreciation to her fellow Commissioners for agreeing to allow the reconsideration of the subject resolution.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Mayor Thurston, that Resolution No. 19R-08-161 be reconsidered by the City Commission Meeting, due back on 9/26/2019. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

XIX COMMUNICATIONS FROM PUBLIC OFFICIALS AT 9:00 PM, OR IMMEDIATELY BEFORE ADJOURNMENT, WHICHEVER SHALL FIRST OCCUR. IF AN ITEM OF LEGISLATION IS BEING DISCUSSED AT 9:00 PM, THE CHAIR MAY DELAY THESE COMMUNICATIONS UNTIL AFTER THE ITEM OF LEGISLATION HAS BEEN RESOLVED.

Vice Mayor Bates thanked City staff and her aide for the awesome job they did at the first Career Fair in August, at which there were over 800 attendees. Attendees came dressed for success, and the sponsors at the event were quite impressed. She knew one organization received 40 applications and many others had success as well. She wished to convey her commendations to Police Chief Stanley, as Police Officers Lawrence and Sims made presentations at her church the previous week that received an overwhelming response from the congregation, and her church wished to repeat the experience, so she would be contacting Chief Stanley to see how this could be arranged. The first official meeting of the U.S. 2020 Census Complete Count Committee of Lauderhill would take place on September 18, 2019, at 6:00 p.m. in the Multipurpose Room at City Hall, and residents were being asked to attend. The City needed to make sure all persons residing in Lauderhill were counted by April 1, 2020. The City would lose about \$1,400.00 per person per year for the next ten years for every resident who was not counted in the Census. She stressed the need for everyone to encourage their neighbors and friends living in Lauderhill, when they received the information regarding the Census in the mail, to fill it out and return it by the deadline. The form could be completed my mail, online or on the telephone, and if a completed form was not received, someone would come knocking on residents' doors. Vice Mayor Bates stated if a resident had a newborn on March 31, 2020, they should be included in their Census count; the City missed many of its children in the last Census count, because residents did not count many of the children under five years of age, not realizing that was money that could have gone to the schools for such initiatives as the free lunch program, the free breakfast program, Head Start, and other programs for children. She urged residents to please count children of all ages in their households, complete the form, and send them in, and they should encourage their neighbors and friends in Lauderhill to do the same. There were part-time Census jobs available starting at \$15.00 an hour, so anyone looking for part-time employment was welcome to apply. She invited residents to come to the September 18 meeting to hear the presentation and learn more about the U.S. 2020 Census, and some might be encouraged to participate in the work to contact and have counted the 70,000 plus residents of Lauderhill. Vice Mayor Bates stated October 1, 2019, was Light Up City Hall in Pink for Cancer Awareness Month; this was the City's fourth or fifth year doing this, and the goal was to expand the

fundraising efforts by selling T-shirts. T-shirts could be bought online at www.lauderhillshop.com; the T-shirts cost \$30.00 each, and part of the proceeds would go to the Florida Breast Cancer Foundation. Cancer was a very treacherous disease that affected everyone's family or friends in some way. She mentioned on October 17, 2019, at 9:30 a.m. was the City's Annual Community Leaders Breakfast, and though the event was usually held at City Hall, Florida Medical Center (FMC) expressed a desire to host the 2019 event, so the venue would be at the FMC. A full, complete breakfast would be provided, and there would be discussions on everything taking place in the City's community associations.

Commissioner Berger announced that Lauderhill Regional Chamber of Commerce (LRCC) would host their monthly networking breakfast on Friday, September 13, 2019, at 7:30 a.m. at the Chateau Mar Resort. The breakfast would be hosted in partnership with the City's Economic Development Department, and there would be discussions on ways to bring change in the Lauderhill community via business development, workforce, culture, tourism, etc., and everyone was welcome to participate.

Commissioner Grant gave a special thank you to the City for providing a location the previous week for people to drop off donations for persons affected by Hurricane Dorian. City staff and volunteers were exceptional in their participation in the effort. On the day she was present, 80 pallets of water were delivered, along with numerous other needed items. A car seat giveaway would take place on September 25, 2019, at FMC from 12:30 p.m. to 2:30 p.m.; car seats would be given to families that had financial challenges and were unable to purchase car seats. This was an initiative that she advanced with the assistance of various businesses to bring it to fruition. She stated a Lauderhill Behavioral Symposium would take place on Sunday, October 27, 2019, from 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. at the Central Regional Park. The focus would be on building skills to help lead a more resilient and productive life, and there would be community programs, mind/body workshops, behavioral health resources, and other wellness activities, including food, music, and supervised children's activities. She encouraged community leaders and residents to continue to support their Bahamian brothers and sisters, and donate to reputable organizations; she would be focusing on getting help to fund fuel for pilots, working with Barrington Irvin, the youngest pilot to fly around the world at age 23, along with a team. She was advised that the fuel to fly a plane to and from the Bahamas cost \$800.00 to \$1,000.00. She would also focus on acquiring feminine products for women in the Bahamas, so anyone who wished to help in any of these initiatives should contact her office for more information.

Mayor Thurston remarked since the Commission's last meeting, the City Commission was notified that City Manager Faranda would be retiring. The City Commission, on behalf of everyone in the City, wished to thank Mr. Faranda for his service, noting his last day with the City would be March 1, 2020, so there would be discussion on the selection of a new city manager and what that process entailed at the upcoming City Commission workshop on September 16, 2019, at 7:00 p.m. He, again, thanked Mr. Faranda for his years of service, during which he guided the City's administration and his staff well, as the City had gone through some difficult times.

City Manager Faranda confirmed his last day with the City was March 1, 2020, so

he had ample time to say his goodbyes. It was his honor to serve the City of Lauderhill, and an honor and a blessing to both serve and reside in the City, and he hoped to keep the numerous friends he made over the years. He would probably return on the side of the public to commend the City Commission and staff for the fine work they continued to do for the City of Lauderhill.

XX ADJOURNMENT