

City of Lauderhill

*City Hall
5581 W. Oakland Park Blvd.
Lauderhill, FL, 33313
www.lauderhill-fl.gov*



Meeting Minutes - Draft

Monday, May 13, 2019

7:00 PM

City Commission Chambers

City Commission Meeting

LAUDERHILL CITY COMMISSION

***Mayor Ken Thurston
Vice Mayor M. Margaret Bates
Commissioner Howard Berger
Commissioner Richard Campbell
Commissioner Denise D. Grant***

***Charles Faranda, City Manager
Andrea M. Anderson, City Clerk
Earl Hall, City Attorney***

I CALL TO ORDER**II COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC (AND RESPONSES TO THE PUBLIC, IF THE TIME PERMITS DURING THIS PORTION OF THE MEETING OF THE CITY COMMISSION)****III ADJOURNMENT (NO LATER THAN 7:30 PM)****I CALL TO ORDER OF REGULAR MEETING**

Mayor Thurston called to order the Regular City Commission Meeting at 7:11 PM.

II ROLL CALL

Present: 5 - Commissioner Howard Berger, Commissioner Richard Campbell, Commissioner Denise D. Grant, Vice Mayor M. Margaret Bates, and Mayor Ken Thurston

ALSO PRESENT:

*Charles Faranda, City Manager
Angel Rosenberg, Assistant City Attorney
Constance Stanley, Police Chief
Andrea M. Anderson, City Clerk*

III PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG FOLLOWED BY GOOD AND WELFARE

A. A MOMENT OF SILENCE FOR AKEENA AND KEANNA BENNETT (REQUESTED BY COMMISSIONER DENISE D. GRANT).

HOUSEKEEPING

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Bates, seconded by Commissioner Berger, to ACCEPT the Revised Version of the City Commission Meeting Agenda for May 13, 2019. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

IV CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA

A motion was made by Vice Mayor M. Margaret Bates, seconded by Mayor Ken Thurston, that this Consent Agenda was approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Berger, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Grant, Vice Mayor Bates, and Mayor Thurston

Abstain: 0

V APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Minutes of the City Commission for April 29, 2019.

Attachments: [April 29, 2019 - City Commission Meeting Minutes](#)

These Minutes were approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

VI PROCLAMATIONS / COMMENDATIONS

A. A PROCLAMATION DECLARING MAY AS MENTAL HEALTH AWARENESS MONTH
(REQUESTED BY MAYOR KEN THURSTON).

VII SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

VIII GENERAL PRESENTATIONS (5 MINUTES MAXIMUM)

IX ORDINANCES & PUBLIC HEARINGS - FIRST READING (NOT ON CONSENT AGENDA) (AS ADVERTISED IN THE SUN-SENTINEL)

X ORDINANCES & PUBLIC HEARINGS - SECOND READING (NOT ON CONSENT AGENDA) (AS ADVERTISED IN THE SUN-SENTINEL)

1. ORDINANCE NO. 19O-04-107: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE VACANT LAND SALE AND PURCHASE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL AND LAUDERHILL MARKETPLACE, LLC FOR THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL TO PURCHASE THE VACANT PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED AT THE CORNER OF STATE ROAD 7/U.S. 441 AND N.W. 11th PLACE, LAUDERHILL FOR THE PURCHASE PRICE OF \$928,092.67; AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER AND/OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ANY AND ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS; PROVIDING FOR PAYMENT FROM THE APPROPRIATE BUDGET CODE NUMBER; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [ORD-19O-04-107-Vacant Land Purchase-Sale - Lauderhill Marketplace.pdf](#)
[AR 19O-04-107](#)
[Seller resigned FINAL Contract 2019_04_29.pdf](#)
[Survey Lauderhill Marketplace.pdf](#)

This Ordinance was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

XI RESOLUTIONS (IF NOT ON CONSENT AGENDA)

REMOVE RESOLUTION NO. 19R-04-64 FROM THE TABLE. ITEM WAS TABLED APRIL 8, 2019.

2. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-04-64: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL OBJECTING TO AND OPPOSING THE USE ON N.W. 44TH STREET AND N.W. 64TH AVENUE FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS TO THE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED BY THE DEVELOPER 13TH FLOOR WOODLANDS HB GP IN THE CITY OF TAMARAC; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY MAYOR KEN THURSTON).

Attachments: [RES-19R-04-64-Oppose Woodlands local roads access.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-04-64](#)

[Woodlands Country Club Golf Course Redevelopment-Tamarac.pdf](#)

[Tamarac-City Future Land Use Map.pdf](#)

[Tamarac-Zoning Map.pdf](#)

[Tamarac-Concept Plan.pdf](#)

[RES 95R-199.pdf](#)

[Lauderhill Pointe Meeting Overview 3-18-19.pdf](#)

Assistant City Attorney Rosenberg indicated the subject item required a motion to remove it from the table if the Commission wished to vote on the resolution.

Vice Mayor Bates asked if the City received additional information other than the memo the Commission received from Mr. Faranda regarding the meeting held in Tamarac.

City Manager Faranda indicated the memo he sent to the City Commission contained the latest information he had on the matter, along with the attachments to that memo. City staff would try to answer any questions the Commission might have.

Vice Mayor Bates noticed the applicant's representative, Hope Calhoun, was present, asking her if she had anything to add since her dialog at the last Commission meeting.

Hope Calhoun, the representative on behalf of 13th Floor Investments, indicated she was unaware of the contents of Mr. Faranda's memo to the City Commission, but her client's traffic consultant, City of Tamarac staff and traffic consultant, and City of Lauderhill staff and traffic consultant met the previous week to discuss a methodology in terms of the streets that would be studied if 13th Floor was allowed to move forward. At the meeting, City staff provided 13th Floor's traffic engineer with additional streets they wanted studied. She noted the reason for her request to keep the subject resolution tabled was to allow her client to study the potential impacts of their project on the roadways and around the Woodlands project. She assumed the information in Mr. Faranda's memo had to do with what happened at the Tamarac Planning & Zoning meeting, at which her client's application was continued.

Commissioner Campbell recalled at the last Commission meeting there was a request, which he opposed, not to proceed with the subject resolution with the intent that City staff would be briefed on possible changes to accommodate the resolution. Based on what he heard from Mr. Faranda on what that took place since then, and the report from City staff, but nothing from the developer, he was unsure as to the intent of requesting a further delay on the resolution.

Ms. Calhoun reminded the Commission that at the last City Commission meeting, her

client asked for the proposed resolution to be tabled to allow them to meet with City staff to discuss a methodology to figure out what roadways were going to be impacted, and for those to be included in the subsequent study. She said those things happened following that Commission's meeting, as her client and she met with City staff the ensuing Wednesday or Thursday, at which time they discussed her client's proposed site plan, and all parties present agreed to meet with Tamarac staff to discuss a methodology. As she said at the first meeting and would continue to say, her client believed it would be premature to pass a resolution that opposed the use of 64th and 44th without a traffic study to understand the impact of the proposed development. Ms. Calhoun remarked her client was simply asking that the City allow them the time to examine the streets and roadways proposed by the traffic engineer and agreed to by all staff members. At the end of the day, if the Commission decided they could not support what her client was proposing, then they should vote accordingly at that time, but with the full information that included the results of the traffic study. She commented, regarding the delay, the first step was the methodology meeting with City staff where all the roadways to be impacted were agreed upon.

Commissioner Campbell reminded City staff and his fellow Commissioners of the concerns the community expressed in regard to the subject project. In 2017, residents expressed concerns regarding traffic impacts of the ALF at the corner of NW 44th Street and Inverrary Boulevard, and that project was approved and would be in place in the near future. Somewhere in the past two years, there was an approval for an additional exit/entrance to the apartment at the southeastern corner of NW 44th Street and Inverrary Boulevard, which now, as it stood, created tremendous problems to commute on NW 44th Street. He said, most recently, he took City staff to the site for them to witness some of the problems presently encountered on NW 44th Street. The affected community spoke their opposition loudly, not about the Tamarac development, but to having it access NW 44th Street and NW 64th Avenue. He expressed at the last meeting that the City of Lauderhill had a right to pass a resolution and, as he understood, a resolution was to state the City's position regarding an issue. Commissioner Campbell sought clarification as to whether any discussion Ms. Calhoun had with City staff affected the Commission's view regarding her client's project that led her to continuously ask the City Commission to delay its vote on the subject resolution that reflected the concerns expressed by Lauderhill residents. He felt it was reasonable to have the voices of the Lauderhill citizens who would be affected by the subject development, to ensure their concerns were heard. No delay in the Commission's vote on the subject resolution to accommodate Ms. Calhoun's request would make any difference, in terms of how the Commission was likely to vote, unless Ms. Calhoun could specifically point out exactly what her client anticipated and the importance of the Commission delaying its vote. He said it would be sad if the Commission further delayed its vote on the proposed resolution.

Commissioner Berger asked, based upon the purpose of the subject resolution being tabled at the previous Commission meeting, if that purpose was not fulfilled or only partially fulfilled.

Ms. Calhoun affirmed the purpose was partially fulfilled. She indicated she failed to mention that in addition to determining which roadways would be impacted, Lauderhill City staff asked 13th Floor's traffic engineer to incorporate in their evaluation projects in the City of Lauderhill. Thus, anticipating the background traffic coming forward from other projects, her client's traffic engineer would also consider approved and pending Lauderhill projects. The other part of the purpose was to conduct the traffic study to figure out which streets would be impacted and the extent of the impact, and whether any changes or improvements could be made to the affected roadways.

Commissioner Berger thought he saw in the Commission's backup a resolution about the City wanting to annex the Tamarac side of NW 44th Street, asking if that was done already.

Ms. Calhoun thought the City of Lauderhill annexed the north side of NW 44th Street from the City of Tamarac some time ago.

Commissioner Berger questioned the cost to roadway improvements if they were done by the City.

Ms. Calhoun remarked the cost for potential improvements would be included in her client's evaluation of the impacts on the roadways identified in Lauderhill, including how impacts could be mitigated, and how her client could pay for the improvements.

Commissioner Berger mentioned having no issue with supporting the Mayor's resolution, but he thought it would not be reasonable to do so without additional information brought to him that would necessitate him taking the item off the table.

Commissioner Grant questioned how long the traffic study would take.

Ms. Calhoun replied her client anticipated the study and the determination of its results would take about nine months, as they presently had a comprehensive plan amendment application that was delayed at the last Tamarac Planning & Zoning meeting, so the item had to go back for consideration. The additional traffic analysis that was required to provide the information everyone was looking for could not take place until the site plan approval, and that would not go forward until the amendment to the comprehensive plan was approved. Passing the subject resolution that said no to NW 64th and 44th at the present time removed the ability for her client evaluating whether or not improvements were possible, what mitigation was available to them, etc.

Commissioner Grant sought confirmation Ms. Calhoun's client needed an additional nine months.

Ms. Calhoun answered yes.

City Manager Faranda added City staff also asked at the meeting with Ms. Calhoun and her client that they examine other alternative ingresses and egresses besides the current usage of NW 44th and NW 64th. Just on its surface, the City received no reports on anything, and the City's traffic engineer had not done a traffic study, but just, intuitively, everyone in the area was concerned about traffic along those and surrounding roadways, and adding anything more would have an additional plus impact, not a negative impact on the total usage. He noted this was a route he drove every day, so if the resolution was tabled to give Ms. Calhoun more time for additional studies, he thought the City should hold the subject developer's feet to the fire as to them looking at other access ways, as there were other access ways for the proposed development.

Vice Mayor Bates wished to affirm the Commission was all on the same page. If the Commission were to pass the subject resolution immediately, they would be closing the door and not making a good decision, as it would not be based on all the facts. The developer was asking for additional time to do the traffic analysis and whatever else they needed. Though the subject project would be located in Tamarac, and Lauderhill residents would be affected, the City of Tamarac had yet to approve the subject development; it was currently before the Tamarac Planning & Zoning Board. She

understood all the concerns voiced in regard to traffic impacts, and she was definitely in favor of not adding more traffic to the existing traffic on NW 64th and NW 44th, but she was not inclined to make a hasty decision on something without all the facts. She suggested the Commission leave the item tabled until additional information was provided.

City Manager Faranda expressed concern over a nine-month delay, as he doubted it took nine months to do a traffic study. Ms. Calhoun's client might have other concerns regarding the actual development, but that was not Lauderhill's concern, which included alternative ingresses and egresses in Tamarac. He knew the traffic study could be done sooner, and he could not foresee the Commission tabling the item for nine months, unless it was for the purpose of some strategic plan by Ms. Calhoun's client. For the City's purposes, tabling the subject resolution for nine months seemed unnecessary.

Ms. Calhoun responded her client was not engaged in anything strategic, nor were they hiding anything. Without the approval of the comprehensive plan amendment, the site plan could not move forward, and at the site plan stage was when the developer and City of Tamarac determined the amount of units, which directly affected the traffic impacts, etc. In six months she could come back an update for the City Commission, but the developer anticipated finishing the necessary processes in nine months.

Commissioner Campbell requested further input from City staff, as there were some issues that personally concerned him.

City Manager Faranda indicated his staff would endeavor to answer Commissioner Campbell's concerns, stating, besides the traffic, another major concern was the significant pedestrian traffic in the subject area on the Sabbath. Any considerations to traffic studies had to consider the pedestrian traffic.

Commissioner Campbell stated there was a development of townhouses at the northwestern area of Inverrary Boulevard. He asked if this was Tamarac or Lauderhill.

Mayor Thurston replied this was Tamarac.

Commissioner Campbell remarked that community was approved without taking into concern the expansion of NW 44th Street. That community sat exactly on the sidewalk of NW 44th Street. He drove on 44th Street, and on the southern section of 44th Street, all the residential developments located in Lauderhill, sat exactly on the side of 44th Street. He questioned the possibility of expanding two lanes on 44th Street from Inverrary Boulevard to Rock Island Road.

City Planner Stephen Tawes said he was unsure there was enough right of way for two additional lanes on NW 44th Street, as he believed the right of way was currently at 100 feet, but he could not speak positively as to enough room for two additional lanes.

Commissioner Campbell stated, though he was no expert, without a miracle and the removal of some of the existing townhomes, NW 44th Street could not be expanded. He was on the phone for a little over half an hour with the attorney, and part of what was suggested was the developer planning an increase of turn lanes, though he was confused as to where that would be possible. He asked City staff about the possibility of increasing or improving the turn lanes on Rock Island Road, and would it make a difference in the existing traffic problems along NW 44th Street.

Mr. Tawes pointed out that the Ms. Calhoun's client did not control to the north, the

Beasley property, which had the transmission facilities, so the right of way for any movement at the intersection of Rock Island and NW 44th Street would have to be to the south in the City of Lauderhill's right of way.

Commissioner Campbell surmised the subject Tamarac project was not something that started two or six months ago, it was ongoing for quite some time, asking if there was any attempt by the developer or Tamarac to request permission from Lauderhill to do any expansion as far as NW 44th Street was concerned.

Mr. Tawes answered no. There were discussions about the project, and the developer mentioned intersection improvements for their traffic, but no discussion as far as what right of way would be necessary from the City.

Commissioner Campbell acknowledged a Tamarac Commissioner present at the meeting, stating part of his concern was the need for Lauderhill and Tamarac continuing to be good neighbors. He asked if City staff was aware that there were several public meetings held on the subject development.

Mr. Tawes affirmed he was aware.

Commissioner Campbell asked if in any of those meetings had City staff heard of any new proposals.

Mr. Tawes answered no.

Commissioner Campbell inquired if any Tamarac residents opposed the subject development.

Mr. Tawes affirmed he was aware of resident opposition, as he attended the most recent Tamarac Planning & Zoning Board meeting on May 6, 2019.

Commissioner Campbell questioned if since the last City Commission meeting at which the City Commission was told that City staff would be meeting with the subject developers to examine possibilities, had staff actually met with them.

Mr. Tawes answered yes.

Commissioner Campbell wished to know what came out of that meeting.

Mr. Tawes indicated the outcome of the meetings thus far was much the same, as the concept plan had not changed; the access to NW 44th and 64th remained. City staff was adamant that they were against the project as proposed with those access points, and they continued to strongly suggest that the developer come up with alternate access points that did not include NW 44th or 64th. To date, City staff had not seen that. He confirmed the last meeting with Ms. Calhoun and the developer's team was on methodology, and City staff afforded them the courtesy of having that meeting, as directed by the City Commission. The meeting was scheduled and rescheduled, and thus far, the circumstances were much the same as before.

Commissioner Campbell questioned if giving the developer another six, nine or 12 months would convince City staff of the developer's current position.

Mr. Tawes answered no; if the access ways were still on NW 64th and NW 44th, City staff's current opinion of being strongly opposed would not change, nor would their current

recommendation to the City Commission.

Commissioner Campbell asked if Mr. Tawes had a chance to review the subject resolution.

Mr. Tawes affirmed he had.

Commissioner Campbell understood that the resolution was not saying to the City of Tamarac that the subject development should not go forward, rather, it said that the City of Lauderhill strongly opposed the utilization of NW 44th Street and NW 64th Avenue in regards to access for the subject development in Tamarac. He asked if this, too, was Mr. Tawes' understanding of the resolution.

Mr. Tawes replied that it was his understanding as well.

Commissioner Campbell sought clarification, as if the resolution indicated the City's position six months ago, the same position today, and nothing indicated that the developer intended to make changes to the access to their development, why should the City delay its decision on the resolution to accommodate the developer any further.

Mr. Tawes explained a further delay was not his recommendation. In his memos to City administration, he suggested that even with the ongoing meetings, he still recommended against the subject development's access points.

Commissioner Campbell asked if Mr. Tawes was aware of the surrounding communities' strong opposition to what the developer had proposed.

Mr. Tawes answered yes, he was.

Commissioner Campbell noted he represented the residents of Lauderhill, and he understood that Ms. Calhoun represented the developer and the need for her to ask the Commission to favor her client by delaying a decision on the subject resolution. He thought his obligation was to listen to affected residents in Lauderhill, and not one single person from Lauderhill expressed a desire under any circumstance for the access ways the developer proposed. He could not see how a resolution stating the City of Lauderhill was opposed to the subject project's having access to NW 64th Avenue and NW 44th Street would prevent the City of Tamarac or the developer from making their adjustment. The subject resolution clearly stated that nothing would suggest that either street being utilized by the developer would not create hardship for the residents of Lauderhill, and he if any of his fellow Commissioners felt they could convince him to think of one positive possibility that delaying the vote on the resolution could result in, he would welcome it and support a further delay. Commissioner Campbell stated he listened to Ms. Calhoun at the last and present Commission meetings, and she offered not one indication of a possible change that would accommodate the City's concerns.

Mayor Thurston said he had no objection to leaving the item tabled for an extended period of time to give the developer the opportunity to do their study. The parameters of the study were already discussed with City staff, including the preference of the City Manager. He opened the item to the public for discussion.

Ms. Calhoun remarked the reasons mentioned in his discussion with Mr. Tawes were the reasons the developer needed more time. She was not a traffic engineer, nor was Mr. Tawes, so Commissioner Campbell's questions about right of way, dedications, potentially, or acquisitions could be addressed by the traffic engineer after the traffic

study and analysis was completed. Regarding a plan B, that was closing off access to NW 64th Avenue and NW 44th Street as options, Mr. Tawes was correct that City staff made it abundantly clear that the developer needed to come up with a plan B, but proposing a plan B involved looking at all of the options that they had, so a better option could be proposed. Approving the subject resolution immediately closed the developer's options of what they could or could not look at.

Aryeh Shender, 5217 NW 67th Avenue, Lauderhill, stated he was the president of the homeowners' association (HOA) of Forest Lake Estates. He believed there was some juggling going on, as on May 1, he was at the Tamarac Planning meeting; it began at 9:00 a.m. and went to 3:00 p.m. He sat for six hours listening to residents stating they felt the rug was being pulled out from under them, as they expected a golf course, and they never thought they would have 425 homes built into this project. It went without saying, that there were many unhappy Tamarac residents. There was also the issue of water, as it was an old system that currently served the Woodlands since 1970. There were no plans going forward to the planning commission explaining how they intended taking care of sewage and water coming into the community once 425 homes were added, so that was another concern. The Lauderhill residents' concern, obviously, was traffic, but it would also be water; if it started flooding, City residents were next door neighbors, and there would be issues if that factor was not addressed. The bulk of the building the project was over 200 homes built in the corner of NW 44th Street near Rock Island Road, and they would be town houses, and one of the planning commissioners was Ilene Lieberman, a past Lauderhill Mayor who lived in the Woodlands, and she said that their boulevard was a small two-lane road. If NW 44th Street was not opened up, it was a no deal. If the Commission chose to respond by further delaying the subject resolution, it implied Lauderhill was not absolutely opposed to the access ways being proposed by the subject developer. Mr. Shender alleged hearing an anecdotal story about 13th Floor telling the City of Tamarac that Lauderhill had little problem with them using NW 64th and NW 44th. A resolution was just a resolution, and it was not law, and it could be changed, but it was important for the City to make a statement that it would be a no win for the City. He said traffic on NW 64th Avenue was awful at present, and NW 44th was not much better, as people were having trouble on Commercial Boulevard that they were rerouting to NW 44th to get onto the Turnpike, so 44th was having major traffic congestion. He wished the Commission to vote in favor of the resolution, and let the developer come back and make a presentation the City could work with, and change could be made by the Commission at that time if deemed necessary.

Yechezkel Rodal, 4833 NW 66th, said he currently served as the vice president on the board of directors of Forest Lakes. Their property abutted NW 64th Avenue, so they were literally next door. There was only one way into Forest Lake, which was called Lauderhill's hidden jewel, and access was off Commercial Boulevard onto NW 64th Avenue by the Chase Bank and Marathon gas station. Currently, the road was one way each way serving two communities. He personally met with 13th Floor, their traffic engineer, Chris Hagen, and Ms. Calhoun, and he told them that he did a traffic study every single day, as he had been driving on 44th and Commercial Boulevard for 15 years, and he, along with most residents of the area, knew how much traffic had changed in 15 years. He said for him to get from his house to the Turnpike, it could take 20 minutes in the morning, and NW 64th Avenue was not an option, as there was no room. Commissioner Campbell made fantastic points, as this was about NW 44th Street and NW 64th Avenue, not saying no to the development. This was just to say this would not work on those two roadways. He believed Mr. Faranda made an excellent point regarding the pedestrian traffic, particularly on the Sabbath when Orthodox Jewish residents walked. There were two synagogues on the corner of NW 44th and Inverrary Boulevard, and both averaged at least 100 children. Thus, adding another 500 or 600 cars with

families crossing the streets was dangerous; currently, it was already a tremendous danger for pedestrians crossing those streets, and for their Jewish community crossing back and forth three times between Friday evening and Saturday, this was a great concern that was not being included in the developer's traffic study if they conduct their study during the week, and the same applied to the newly approved ALF at Inverrary Boulevard and NW 44th Street. He highly recommended the City Commission approve the subject resolution at the present meeting, as there was no way for the developer to expand either roadway.

Mike Gillen, District 2 Commissioner, City of Tamarac, stated he represented the district where the development was proposed. He was not attending the City Commission meeting by authorization of his fellow Commissioners, nor would he forward an opinion either way; he was present to observe, as he would eventually have to vote on the matter, so he wished to hear all sides of the argument.

Mayor Thurston received no further input from the public.

Assistant City Attorney indicated, as the resolution was still tabled indefinitely, if the Commission wished the matter to remain tabled, no vote was required, unless the Commission wished to continue the resolution to a time certain.

Mayor Thurston felt leaving the item tabled left the Commission with the best of all possibilities, so if the desire was to revisit it in two months or more, that was possible. With no motion made to do otherwise, he move forward with the agenda.

This Resolution remained on the table to be heard at a future City Commission Meeting.

3. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-05-85: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE EXPENDITURE OF FEDERAL FORFEITURE FUNDS IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$291,000.00 TO PURCHASE THE NECESSARY ITEMS AND EQUIPMENT SET FORTH; PROVIDING FOR PAYMENT FROM BUDGET CODE NUMBER 110-683-9936; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-05-85-FFF-expenditure various 2019.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-05-85](#)
[Federal Law Enforcement Forfeiture Fund 042319.pdf](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

4. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-05-86: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE MINOR MODIFICATIONS TO THE JOB DESCRIPTION FOR THE EXISTING JOB POSITION OF CAPITAL PROJECTS MANAGER; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-05-86-JOB-modification-Capital Projects Mgr.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-05-86](#)
[Capital Projects Manager \(Facilities\)2019.pdf](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

5. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-05-87: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL, FLORIDA CONDEMNING THE BOYCOTT, DIVEST AND SANCTION ISRAEL (BDS) MOVEMENT; PROVIDING THAT THE BDS MOVEMENT PROMOTES ANTI-SEMITISM AND THE ELIMINATION OF THE JEWISH STATE; PROVIDING THAT THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL WILL NOT INVEST IN, OR DO BUSINESS WITH, ANY FIRM OR PERSON THAT BOYCOTTS ISRAEL; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY COMMISSIONER HOWARD BERGER).

Attachments: [RES-19R-05-87-Anti-BDS Resolution.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-05-87](#)
[antisemitism backup00038020190501122727.pdf](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

6. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-05-88: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE APPOINTMENT OF APRYL FREEMAN BY THE LAUDERHILL EDUCATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD TO SERVE AS A SECOND ALTERNATE MEMBER OF THE BOARD FOR THE REMAINDER OF A TERM EXPIRING NOVEMBER 2020; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-05-88-BRD-Educ-Advis Board appt 2nd Alt.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-05-88](#)
[Educational Advisory Board List](#)
[Educational Advisory Board Application - Apryl Freeman](#)
[April 24, 2019 - Educational Advisory Board Minutes](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

7. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-05-89: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF THREE (3) CLEAR WELL XYLEM GOULD'S VIT-DITM 14 RHMC PUMPS FOR THE WATER TREATMENT PLANT FROM THE SOLE SOURCE PROVIDER HUDSON PUMPS & EQUIPMENT; WAIVING BIDDING REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING FOR PAYMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$147,000.00 FROM BUDGET CODE NUMBERS 401-917-6475 AND 401-921-6440;

PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-05-89-Sole Source - water plant pumps.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-05-89](#)

[Hudson Pump sole source RES%2019R-04-69%20Bid%20-%20Sole%20Source%20-%20Hudson%20Pump%20&%20Equipment%20-%20Equipment%20and%20Service%20for%20Water%20Treatment%20Plant
3-29-2019 New Quantity \(3\) WTP Clear Well Replacement Pumps
Proposal](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

8. RESOLUTION 19R-05-90: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE RANKING OF THE EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR RFP #2019-021 TO PROVIDE VENDING MACHINE SERVICES AT VARIOUS CITY LOCATIONS AS RECOMMENDED BY THE EVALUATION COMMITTEE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-05-90-Ranking-Vending Machines.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-05-90](#)

[VENDING MACHINES RFP 4-1-19](#)

[BID RESULTS.UPDATED](#)

[FINAL RANKING RFP 2019-021 VENDING MACHINES](#)

[2019-021 Double R Vending Company](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

9. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-05-91: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL APPROVING THE LIST OF COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE BROKERS TO PROVIDE SERVICES PURSUANT TO RFQ 2019-024; PROVIDING FOR PAYMENT FROM THE APPROPRIATE BUDGET CODE NUMBER(S) ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE, (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-05-91-List-Commercial Real Estate Brokers.pdf](#)

[AR 19R-05-91](#)

[COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE BROKERS 4-1-19](#)

[BID RESULTS 2019-04](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

10. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-05-92: A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE AWARD OF BID TO RICHARD PALEY, M.D. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$30,000.00 IN RESPONSE TO RFP 2019-020 TO PROVIDE MEDICAL DIRECTION SERVICES FOR THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT; PROVIDING FOR A THREE (3) YEAR TERM WITH TWO (2) OPTIONS TO EXTEND FOR ADDITIONAL ONE (1) YEAR TERMS; PROVIDING FOR PAYMENT FROM BUDGET CODE NUMBER 001-164-03110; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-05-92-bid-medical director - Paley.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-05-92](#)
[ORIGINAL FILE NOTIFICATION.\(002\).pdf](#)
[RICHARD PALEY.pdf](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

11. RESOLUTION NO. 19R-05-93: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAUDERHILL WAIVING THE COMPETITIVE BIDDING REQUIREMENTS; APPROVING THE SOLE SOURCE PURCHASE OF SIX (6) LUCAS III CHEST COMPRESSION DEVICES FROM PHYSIO-CONTROL, INC.; PROVIDING FOR PAYMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$121,149.60 FROM BUDGET CODE NUMBER 190-351-06440; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE (REQUESTED BY CITY MANAGER, CHARLES FARANDA).

Attachments: [RES-19R-05-93-Sole Source - Physi-Control Lucas III Chest Compression.pdf](#)
[AR 19R-05-93](#)
[SMR SOLE SOURCE Letter.pdf](#)
[4-2019 LUCAS \(6\) \(1\).pdf](#)

This Resolution was approved on the Consent Agenda. (See Consideration of Consent Agenda for vote tally.)

XII QUASI-JUDICIAL MATTERS (IF NOT ON CONSENT AGENDA)

XIII QUASI-JUDICIAL MATTERS, FIRST READING

XIV QUASI-JUDICIAL MATTERS, SECOND READING

XV UNFINISHED BUSINESS

XVI OLD BUSINESS

XVII NEW BUSINESS**XVIII COMMUNICATIONS FROM PUBLIC OFFICIALS AT 9:00 PM, OR IMMEDIATELY BEFORE ADJOURNMENT, WHICHEVER SHALL FIRST OCCUR. IF AN ITEM OF LEGISLATION IS BEING DISCUSSED AT 9:00 PM, THE CHAIR MAY DELAY THESE COMMUNICATIONS UNTIL AFTER THE ITEM OF LEGISLATION HAS BEEN RESOLVED.**

Commissioner Campbell said he did a procedure the past Friday, and he made an effort to be present, though he was not required to do so. He was recovering well, and he would accept all prayers for a speedy full recovery.

Vice Mayor Bates wished everyone, especially the City's veterans, a happy Memorial Day.

Commissioner Berger invited everyone to the City's next Coffee with a Cop on Thursday, May 16, 2019, 8:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. at Amarena Bakery and Bistro, 4613 N University Drive; it was opened to everyone in the public, and it presented was a good opportunity for residents and business owners to speak with members of the police department. He believed the Police Memorial event would take place on Thursday, May 23, 2019, at the Lauderhill Police Department, at 9:00 a.m. to honor police officers nationwide who died in the line of duty. The event was open to the public, so everyone should come out.

Commissioner Grant announced Living My Best Life seminars for students presented by the Parks & Leisure Services (PALS) Department and the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) on May 21, 2019, at John Mullin Park from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. The City of Lauderhill would host a Marcia Griffiths and Freddie McGregor concert on June 29, 2019, at the Lauderhill Performing Arts Center (LPAC); she would provide further information as the event approached. She announced great news for firefighters from Tallahassee, as a bill passed granting certain benefits to firefighters who received a diagnosis of cancer if certain conditions were met. The bill required certain disability payments to be made to firefighters in the event of a total and permanent disability. She said the bill also provided death benefits to beneficiaries if a firefighter died as a result of cancer or cancer treatments, and also adjusted the allocation of funds to provide line of duty death benefits for members in the investment plan of the Florida Retirement System. She knew this was something that the firefighters long fought for.

Mayor Thurston mentioned on the past Thursday the Teacher Appreciation Breakfast was held, noting it was a phenomenal event. He thanked the Police and Fire Department officers who came out as Honor Guards in a combined force. The teachers were very impressed with the gifts they received, and the City Manager and staff were very helpful in making the event a reality; on behalf of Lauderhill's teachers, he wished to thank them.

XIX ADJOURNMENT - 8:12 PM